UNITED
NATIONS

E

Economic and Social Council

Distr.
GENERAL

E/AC.51/1990/2/Add.1
7 August 1990

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE FOR PROGRAMME AND CO-ORDINATION
Thirtieth session
7 May-8 June 1990
Item 4 (d) of the provisional agenda*

PROGRAMME QUESTIONS: EVALUATION

In-depth evaluation of the major programme on human settlements

Report of the Secretary-General

Addendum

1. The purpose of the present report is to update information contained in annex II, survey of national focal points, and annex III, survey of non-governmental organizations, of the report of the Secretary-General on the in-depth evaluation of the major programme on human settlements (E/AC.51/1990/2).

2. As of 30 June 1990, responses have been received from the national focal points of the United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (Habitat), the Economic Commission for Africa (ECA) and the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE), and contact persons for the follow-up of Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) resolution 268 (XLIV) concerning shelter strategies, of the following countries:

UNCHS (Habitat): Austria, Bahamas, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Canada, Colombia, Germany, Federal Republic of, India, Indonesia, Japan, Kenya, Mexico, Pakistan, Poland, Sweden, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Uganda, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America;

---

* E/AC.51/1990/1.

best copy available

ECA: Burkina Faso, Botswana, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Lesotho, Malawi, Mali, Morocco, Niger, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe;

ECE: Austria, Bulgaria, Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic, Canada, Cyprus, Denmark, France, German Democratic Republic, Germany, Federal Republic of, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Malta, Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America and Yugoslavia;

ESCAP: Afghanistan, Australia, Brunei Darussalam, China, Fiji, Guam, Hong Kong, Japan, Kiribati, Malaysia, Maldives, New Zealand, Pakistan, Philippines, Republic of Korea, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Tonga.

ANNEX II

Survey of national focal points

A. Activities of and services received by national focal points, mobilization of information and distribution of publication

	UNCHS (Habitat)	ECA	ECE	ESCAP
Number of questionnaires sent	34	39	31	30
Number of responses	22 a/	19	21 b/	18
Response rate	64.7% a/	48.7%	67.7% b/	60.0%
SUMMARY OF REPLIES				
1. Activities of and services received by national focal points				
(a) Receipt of publications (No. of replies)	(23)	(18)	(24)	(17)
(1) Regularly	56.5%	5.6%	87.5%	23.5%
(2) Irregularly	39.1%	44.4%	12.5%	52.9%
(3) Never	4.3%	50.0%	0	23.5%
(b) Receipt of assistance on research activities (No. of replies)	(21)	(18)	(22)	(17)
(1) Regularly	9.5%	5.6%	18.2%	0
(2) Irregularly	38.1%	16.7%	36.4%	5.9%
(3) Never	52.4%	77.8%	45.5%	94.1%
(c) Receipt of assistance concerning technical co-operation projects (No. of replies)	(23)	(18)	(20)	(16)
(1) Regularly	26.1%	11.1%	15.0%	43.8%
(2) Irregularly	34.8%	11.1%	15.0%	56.3%
(3) Never	39.1%	77.8%	70.0%	0
(d) Provision of views on the work programme (No. of replies)	(23)	(17)	(23)	(15)
(1) Regularly	43.5%	5.9%	69.6%	6.7%
(2) Irregularly	30.4%	41.2%	21.7%	20.0%
(3) Never	26.1%	52.9%	8.7%	73.3%

	UNCHS (Habitat)	ECA	ECE	ESCAP
(e) Provision of views on the work programme (No. of replies)	(14)	(7)	(14)	(2)
(1) At sessions of intergovernmental bodies	64.3%	57.1%	64.3%	50.0%
(2) Through informal consultations and (1)	21.4%	0	14.3%	0
(3) At seminars/expert group meetings and (1)	7.1%	0	21.4%	50.0%
(4) At seminars/expert group meetings only	0	14.3%	0	0
(5) At the time of project formulation or evaluation only	0	28.6%	0	0
(6) (1) and (5)	7.1%	0	0	0
2. Utilization of information received in any form	(23)	(19)	(24)	(18)
(a) Information has been:				
(1) Utilized	91.3%	52.6%	95.8%	72.2%
(2) Not utilized	0	26.3%	0	5.6%
(3) Don't know	8.7%	10.5%	4.2%	16.7%
(4) No answer	0	10.5%	0	5.6%
(b) Used for input into policy decisions				
(1) Very useful	47.8%	21.1%	33.3%	16.7%
(2) Moderately useful	26.1%	21.1%	54.2%	22.2%
(3) Not useful	4.3	0	4.2%	5.6%
(4) Not used/no answer	21.7%	57.9%	8.3%	55.6%
(c) Used for guide for establishing standards				
(1) Very useful	13.0%	10.5%	16.7%	11.1%
(2) Moderately useful	52.2%	21.1%	66.7%	22.2%
(3) Not useful	4.3%	5.3%	8.3%	5.6%
(4) Not used/no answer	30.4%	63.2%	8.3%	61.1%
(d) Used for background information for meetings				
(1) Very useful	43.5%	26.3%	62.5%	16.7%
(2) Moderately useful	34.8%	15.8%	29.2%	16.7%
(3) Not useful	0	0	0	5.6%
(4) Not used/no answer	21.7%	57.9%	8.3%	61.1%

/...

READEX FICHE

	UNCHS (Habitat)	ECA	ECE	ESCAP
(e) Used for formulating project proposals				
(1) Very useful	34.8%	21.1%	37.5%	11.1%
(2) Moderately useful	34.8%	21.1%	45.8%	27.8%
(3) Not useful	8.7%	0	4.2%	5.6%
(4) Not used/no answer	21.7%	57.9%	12.5%	55.6%
(f) Used for integration into publications				
(1) Very useful	8.7%	21.1%	33.3%	0
(2) Moderately useful	34.8%	5.3%	29.2%	38.9%
(3) Not useful	17.4%	5.3%	20.8%	11.1%
(4) Not used/no answer	39.1%	68.4%	16.7%	50.0%
(g) Used for general reference/ information				
(1) Very useful	56.5%	31.6%	66.7%	27.8%
(2) Moderately useful	21.7%	10.5%	25.0%	27.8%
(3) Not useful	0	0	0	0
(4) Not used/no answer	21.7%	57.9%	8.3%	44.4%
(h) Used for preparing educational/training materials				
(1) Very useful	13.0%	15.8%	20.8%	11.1%
(2) Moderately useful	52.2%	10.5%	29.2%	16.7%
(3) Not useful	4.3%	5.3%	29.2%	16.7%
(4) Not used/no answer	30.4%	68.4%	20.8%	55.6%
3. Distribution of publications				
(a) No. of publications	22	15	14	15
(b) Percentage received				
(1) 100%	3	0	6	1
(2) 99 - 76%	1	0	9	0
(3) 75 - 51%	5	1	6	0
(4) 50 - 26%	7	4	2	8
(5) 25 - 1%	5	4	1	3
(6) 0	2	10	0	5
Number of focal points	23	19	24	17 c/

(Footnotes on following page)

(Footnotes to table)

a/ One country returned two completed questionnaires, both of which were included in the analysis. However, they were counted as one in calculating the response rate.

b/ Three countries returned two completed questionnaires, both of which were included in the analysis. However, they were counted as one in calculating the response rate.

c/ One country did not answer the questions concerning the distribution of publications.

B. Assessment of activities

1. UNCHS (Habitat)

	Number of replies	UNCHS (Habitat) is doing good work	UNCHS (Habitat) should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know UNCHS (Habitat) activities in this field
Subprogramme 1: Settlements policies and strategies	21	85.7%	9.5%	0	4.8%
Subprogramme 2: Settlement planning					
(1) Environmental aspects	21	47.6%	33.3%	4.8%	14.3%
(2) Rural settlements planning	20	30.0%	45.0%	10.0%	15.0%
(3) Subnational planning	18	27.8%	33.3%	11.1%	27.8%
Subprogramme 3: Shelter and community services	22	72.7%	18.2%	0	9.1%
Subprogramme 4: Development of the indigenous construction sector (building materials and construction techniques)	22	59.1%	22.7%	9.1%	9.1%
Subprogramme 5: Low-cost infrastructure for human settlements					
(1) Energy standards and technologies	22	18.2%	31.8%	18.2%	31.8%
(2) Transportation standards and technologies	21	9.5%	23.8%	28.6%	38.1%
(3) Water-supply, sanitation and waste disposal	21	38.1%	28.6%	4.8%	28.6%

	Number of replies	UNCHS (Habitat) is doing good work	UNCHS (Habitat) should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know UNCHS (Habitat) activities in this field
Subprogramme 6: Land					
(1) Land policies and legislative procedures	22	31.8%	36.4%	0	31.8%
(2) Land tenure	21	19.0%	42.9%	4.8%	33.3%
Subprogramme 7: Mobilization of finance for human settlements development					
(1) Employment generation in low-income settlements	21	33.3%	38.1%	0	28.6%
(2) Human settlements finance systems	20	25.0%	55.0%	0	20.0%
Subprogramme 8: Human settlements institutions and management					
(1) Management of urban centres	21	23.8%	42.9%	4.8%	28.6%
(2) Institutional structures	21	14.3%	47.6%	0	38.1%
Dissemination of scientific and technical information	22	68.2%	9.1%	4.5%	18.2%

2. Economic Commission for Africa

	Number of replies	ECA is doing good work	ECA should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know ECA activities in this field
Subprogramme 1: Human settlements policy development and institution-building					
(1) Programming and policy formulation	13	53.8%	15.4%	0	30.8%
Subprogramme 2: Resource development and utilization					
(1) Training	13	7.7%	46.2%	0	46.2%
(2) Low-cost housing construction	13	7.7%	38.5%	7.7%	46.2%
(3) Human settlements infrastructure	13	23.1%	23.1%	0	50.0%
(4) Building materials	13	30.8%	23.1%	0	46.2%

3. Economic Commission for Europe

	Number of replies	ECE is doing good work	ECE should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know ECE activities in this field
Subprogramme 1: Integrated settlements policies and strategies					
(1) Human settlements situation and related trends and policies	23	**82.6%**	**13.0%**	**4.3%**	0
(2) Human settlements problems in southern Europe	20	**30.0%**	**5.0%**	**60.0%**	5.0%
(3) Energy aspects of human settlements policies	18	**55.6%**	**22.2%**	**16.7%**	5.6%
Subprogramme 2: Urban and regional planning					
(1) Promotion of international co-operation in the field of urban and regional research	20	**70.0%**	**25.0%**	**5.0%**	0
(2) Physical planning	21	**42.9%**	**42.9%**	**9.5%**	4.8%
Subprogramme 3: Housing policies					
(1) Housing forecasting and programming	21	**66.7%**	**23.8%**	**9.5%**	0
(2) Methodologies for evaluating the quality and use value of dwellings	23	**60.9%**	**21.7%**	**13.0%**	4.3%

	Number of replies	ECE is doing good work	ECE should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know ECE activities in this field
Subprogramme 4: Building					
(1) Energy conservation in buildings	17	64.7%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%
(2) Information aimed at assisting international trade in building products and know-how	17	41.2%	29.4%	29.4%	0
(3) International harmonization of building regulations and standards	19	57.9%	26.3%	15.8%	0

4. Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

	Number of replies	ESCAP is doing good work	ESCAP should improve its work	Field not relevant	Do not know ESCAP activities in this field
Subprogramme 1: Integrated settlements policies and planning	15	46.7%	26.7%	6.7%	20.0%
Subprogramme 2: Development of shelter infrastructure and land					
(1) Promotion of innovative and appropriate technologies	14	42.9%	28.6%	0	28.6%
(2) Low-income shelters development	15	53.3%	26.7%	0	20.0%
(3) Land policies and management	15	26.7%	33.3%	6.7%	33.3%
Subprogramme 3: Stimulation of institutional capabilities and public participation					
(1) Strengthening of institutional capabilities	14	21.4%	42.9%	7.1%	28.6%
(2) Public participation in financing and development	13	23.1%	30.8%	7.7%	38.5%

ANNEX III

SURVEY OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS

A. Questionnaires

Habitat International Coalition

Number of questionnaires sent to the members of the Habitat International Coalition	156
Number of responses	88
Response rate	56.4%

Non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council

Number of questionnaires sent to non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council (non-member of the Habitat International Coalition)	812
Number of responses	167
Response rate	20.6%

Of those 167 non-governmental organizations

Number involved in human settlements activities	54

SUMMARY OF REPLIES

Number of replies included in the analysis	88	(the Habitat International Coalition)
	54	(non-governmental organizations in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council)
	142	TOTAL

	Number of replies	Receive publication	Do not receive publication	Do not know
1. Distribution of UNCHS (Habitat) publications	142	62.0%	33.1%	5.0%
2. Distribution of publications of the regional commissions	142	14.7%	85.9%	--

3. Utilization of information

Number of non-governmental organizations receiving information	Number of non-governmental organizations using information	Those using information as a percentage of those receiving information
88	77	87.5%

/...

4. Information used for:

	Number of affirmative replies	Percentage of total responses (142)
(a) Integration into publications	42	29.6
(b) Background for meetings	49	34.5
(c) Material for training programmes	35	24.6
(d) Other purposes	35	24.6

5. Usefulness of information

Number of replies	Very helpful	Moderately helpful	Not useful	No answer
88	29.5%	58.0%	--	12.5%

6. Interest in collaboration with UNCHS (Habitat) in various activities

Number of replies	Interested in collaboration	Not interested in collaboration	No answer
142	76.6%	4.2%	19.1%

B. Interviews

Number of non-governmental organizations interviewed: 31

1. Distribution of UNCHS (Habitat) publications

	Receiving publication	Do not know
Number of replies	30	1
Response	96.8%	3.2%

2. Utilization of information

Using information	Not using information	Do not know
74.2%	22.6%	3.21%

3. Usefulness of information

Number of replies: 23	Very useful	Somewhat useful	No answer
	52.2%	34.8%	4.3%

4. Interest in collaboration with UNCHS (Habitat) in various activities

	Interested in collaboration	Do not know
Number of replies	30	1
Percentage	96.8%	3.2%